

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

SECURITIES EXCHANGE COMMISSION)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

DAVID TANNER, et al.,)

Defendants,)

and)

MARGARET F. SPENCER, et al.,)

Relief Defendants.)

Civil Action No.: 05-4057-RDR

**NOTICE OF CONTINUANCE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING
SET FOR AUGUST 16, 2006 AT 9:30 A.M.**

COMES NOW the Receiver *Pendente Lite* Larry E. Cook (the "Receiver"), by and through his undersigned counsel, and for his Notice of Continuance of Claims Objection Hearing set for August 16, 2006 at 9:30 a.m., respectfully states as follows:

1. On July 14, 2006, the Receiver filed his Motion for Order Allowing and Disallow Investor Claims (the "Motion") (Docket # 233).

2. As set forth in the Motion, the deadline to file any response or objection to the Motion was August 4, 2006 and a hearing on any timely filed response or objection would be held at 9:30 a.m. on August 16, 2006.

3. As further set forth in the Motion, the Receiver provided notice of the Motion to all known investors by e-mail: either by e-mail addresses provided by the investors or by the investors' safe-mail.net e-mail address the Receiver obtained from safe-mail.net, an Israel-based e-mail service.

4. Although the Receiver's prior e-mail notices to investors via their safe-mail.net e-mail addresses were, for the most part, successfully delivered (between 93 and 94% success rate); the Receiver's third-party e-mail delivery service, Delivra, Inc., has encountered technical difficulty in delivering the Notice of the Motion to the investors' safe-mail.net e-mail addresses, with only 23 to 26% of e-mails successfully delivered. According to Delivra, this is a problem on the safe-mail.net side.

5. The Receiver and Delivra are working with safe-mail.net to resolve this problem. However, the present political situation in Israel has prevented safe-mail.net from resolving this problem on a timely basis.

6. As a result of the low success rate in delivering e-mail notice of the Motion, the Receiver submits that the August 16, 2006 hearing on the Motion should be continued.

7. Once the Receiver, Delivra, and safe-mail.net have successfully provided notice of the Motion to a significant majority of the investors, the Receiver will request a new hearing date and will provide notice of the continued hearing date to the investors.

Dated: August 15, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Lathrop & Gage L.C.

By: /s/ Brian M. Holland

Kenneth L. Weltz KS #9134

Brian M. Holland KS #19989

10851 Mastin Blvd., Bldg. 82, Ste. 1000

Overland Park, KS 66210-1669

Telephone: 913.451.5100

Telecopier: 913.451.0875

Attorneys for Larry E. Cook, Receiver

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 15th day of August, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing, with the Clerk of the Court for the District of Kansas, Topeka Division, by using the CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following CM/ECF participants:

Timothy P. Davis, SEC;

Christopher M. Joseph and Stephen M. Joseph, Counsel for Spencer Defendants;

Roger N. Walter, Co-Counsel for Relief Defendant Vectra Resources, LLC;

Christopher Bebel, Co-Counsel for Relief Defendant Vectra Resources, LLC;

Randall J. Forbes and Kevin M. Fowler; Counsel for Relief Defendant Dynamic Environmental Solutions;

Robert L. Herskovits, Counsel for Defendant Tanner;

Thomas D. Haney, Counsel for Defendant Tanner; and

Jan Helder, Counsel for Seaforth Meridian, Ltd.

/s/ Brian M. Holland
An attorney for Larry E, Cook, Receiver